PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHOR To: Agent :	PCT PCT		
Davies Collison Cave Level 15 1 Nicholson Street MELBOURNE VIC 3000	NOTIFICATION OF RECEIPT OF DEMAND BY COMPETENT INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 59.3(e) and 61.1(b), first sentence and Administrative Instructions, Section 601(a))		
	Date of mailing 22 MAR 2005 (day/month/year) (22/3/2005)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 12449720	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION		
International application No. PCT/AU2004/000637 International filing date (d) 14 MAY 2004 (14)	ay/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) /5/2004) 14 MAY 2003 (14/5/2003)		
Applicant Vision Fire & Security Pty Ltd (et al.)		
1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority considers the following date as the date of receipt of the demand for international preliminary examination of the international application: 11 MAR 2005 (11/3/2005) 2. That date of receipt is:			
4. Only where paragraph 3 applies, a copy of this notification has been sent to the International Bureau.			

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA
E-mail: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au
Facsimile No. 02 6285 3929

Authorized officer

LORETTA HOLLANDS 12 6283 2290 PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the:

TIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY INTER'

WENESTRY - 7 SEP 2005

To:

Davies Collison Cave Level 15

1 Nicholson Street

MELBOURNE VIC 3000

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

06 SEP 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

12449720/DH/gjm

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000637

International filing date (day/month/year) 14 May 2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

14 May 2003

Applicant

VISION FIRE & SECURITY PTY LTD et al

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the 1. international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translations to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed invention is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU

australian patent office

PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au

Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929

Authorized officer

JUZER KHANBHAI

Telephone No. (02) 6283 2176

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 12449720/DH/gjm	. Constitute Action occionis Company			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month	lyear) Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/AU2004/000637	14 May 2004	14 May 2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC			
Int. Cl. 7 G08B 17/10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Applicant				
VISION FIRE & SECURITY PI	Y LID et al			
		<u> </u>		
This report is the international preliming Authority under Article 35 and transmit	ry examination report, established by ted to the applicant according to Artic	this International Preliminary Examining		
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4	sheets, including this cover sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied by ANN	IEXES, comprising:			
l	International Bureau) a total of 3	sheets, as follows:		
sheets of the description, c sheets containing rectificat Administrative Instruction	ions authorized by this Authority (se	een amended and are the basis for this report and/or e Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or table related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. This report contains indications relating		and west of the		
X Box No. I Basis of the repor	!			
Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establishmer	it of opinion with regard to novelty, i	nventive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of it		,		
X Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VII Certain defects in				
X Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of the report				
11 March 2005	and the state of t	5 September 2005		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU	Authorized Off	Authorized Officer		
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE				
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRAL E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au	ANBHAI			
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929	Telephone No	Telephone No. (02) 6283 2176		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/AU2004/000637

Box	No. I		the report	
1.		regard to the lan	guage, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless order this item.	
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:			
		internation	nal search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1 (b))	
		publicatio	n of the international application (under Rule 12.4)	
		internation	nal preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):			
	ᆜ	•	application as originally filed/furnished	
	\mathbf{X}	the description:	·	
		•	pages 1-22 as originally filed/furnished	
			pages* received by this Authority on with the letter of	
	TT.	the claims:	pages* received by this Authority on with the letter of	
	X	nic ciants.	pages 23-25 as originally filed/furnished	
			pages* as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	
			pages* 26-28 received by this Authority on 11 March 2005 with the letter of 11 March 2005	
			pages* received by this Authority on with the letter of	
	X	the drawings:		
			pages 1/15-15/15 as originally filed/furnished	
			pages* received by this Authority on with the letter of	
			pages* received by this Authority on with the letter of	
		a sequence listin	g and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
3.		The amendments	s have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		the desc	ription, pages	
		the clair	ms, Nos.	
		the draw	vings, sheets/figs	
		the sequ	nence listing (specify):	
		any tabl	le(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
4.			been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been whave been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule	
		the desc	ription, pages	
•		=	ms, Nos.	
•		=	vings, sheets/figs	
			nence listing (specify):	
			le(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
		L] #119 1401	v(v) , visites to this poduction maintib (alectiff).	
•	• If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/AU2004/000637

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regar	d to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citation	is and explanations supporting such statement	

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims 8-14, 32-40, 45, 47, 49	YES	
	Claims 1-7, 15-31, 41-44, 46, 48, 50-53	NO	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 8-14, 32-35, 37-40, 45, 47, 49	YES	
·	Claims 1-7, 15-31, 36, 41-44, 46, 48, 50-53	NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-53	YES	
	Claims -	NO	

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

NOVELTY (N) claims 1-7, 15-31, 41-44, 46, 48, 50-53

D1-US 5696379 A (STOCK) 9 December 1997

D2-US 5576697 A (NAGASHIMA et al.) 19 November 1996

D3-US 3924252 A (DUSTON) 2 December 1975

The present invention is directed toward the field of smoke detection and uses radiation scattered from an incident beam in a monitored area to detect particles.

D1 is a measuring device for detecting gas using modulated multiple beam infra red absorption. It is considered that claims 1-7, 15-26, 28-31, 41-44, 46, 48, 50-53 are not novel in light of D1. D1 does not disclose a laser device.

D2 is a fire alarm which detects fire by measuring the properties of scattered radiation emitted from multiple light emitting devices. It is considered that claims 1-7, 15-31, 41-44, 46, 48, 50-53 are not novel in light of D2 which discloses all features of the claims.

D3 discloses a method of laser smoke detection and it is considered that claims 1-7, 15-31, 41-44, 46, 48, 50-53 are not novel in light of D3 which discloses all features of the claims.

INVENTIVE STEP (IS) claims 1-7, 15-31, 36, 41-44, 46, 48, 50-53

Claims 1-7, 15-31, 41-44, 46, 48, 50-53 as above.

Claim 36: The feature of using a computer to implement a method as in claim 36 is considered to lack an inventive step in light of any of D1-D3 when combined individually with common general knowledge. Computer monitoring of building environment (eg. Climate control & burglar alarms) is very well known in the field of building maintenance.

None of D1-D3 disclose or suggest the detection of smoke in sub-regions to localise its source and as such the remaining claims are considered to be novel and inventive over the cited art.

:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/AU2004/000637

Box No. VIII	Certain observatio	ns on the international application	,		
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

1. Claims 4-8, 10-14, 16-27, 30-31 and 33-35 are not clear because they are either appended to themselves or to an earlier claim which is appended to itself. For example claim 4 describes "[a] method as claimed in claim 4". This problem persists throughout the claims.